11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Contract, (2) Trademark Infringement in Violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1114, (3) False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition in Violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1125(a), (4) Unfair Competition in Violation of California Bus. & Prof. Code Sections 17200 et seq., (5) Dilution in Violation of California Bus. & Prof. Code Section 14247 and (6) Common Law Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Paragraph 1 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as such, no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 1 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the same.
- Paragraph 2 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as such, 2. no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 2 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the same.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks 3. sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 3, and therefore denies the same.
- With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks 4. sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 4, and therefore denies the same.
- To the extent that Paragraph 5 purports to contain any additional allegations of fact, 5. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 5, and therefore deny the same.
- With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks 6. sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 6, and therefore denies the same.
- With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks 7. sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 7, and therefore denies the same.

- 8. With respect to the allegations of Paragraphs 8 to 45 and 49 to 50 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraphs 8 to 45 and 49 to 50, and therefore denies the same.
- 9. To the extent that Paragraphs 46, 47 and 48 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies that she committed any of the acts as stated in Paragraphs 46, 47 and 48.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

BREACH OF CONTRACT

10. Paragraphs 51 to 56 of the Complaint are directed towards Defendant PHOENIX FIBERS, INC. solely and no other Defendants, thus no response is required of this responding Defendant.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (15 U.S.C. SECTION 1114)

- 11. Defendant incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 56 as and for its answer to Paragraph 57.
- 12. To the extent that Paragraphs 58, 59, 61 to 65 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 58, 59, 61 to 65 of the Complaint.
- 13. With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 60 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 60, and therefore denies the same.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN AND UNFAIR COMPETITION (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 14. Defendant incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 65 as and for its answer to Paragraph 66.
- 15. To the extent that Paragraphs 67 to 73 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 58, 59, 61 to 65 of the Complaint.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION

16. Defendant incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 73 as and for its answer to

Paragraph 74.

- 17. To the extent that Paragraphs 75 to 78 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 75 to 78 of the Complaint.
- 18. Paragraph 79 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as such, no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 79 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 79 of the Complaint.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

CALIFORNIA DILUTION

- 19. Defendant incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 79 as and for its answer to Paragraph 80.
- 20. With respect to the allegations of Paragraph 81 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 81, and therefore denies the same.
- 21. Paragraph 82 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as such, no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 82 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Complaint.
- 22. To the extent that Paragraphs 83 to 86 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 83 to 86 of the Complaint.
- 23. Paragraph 87 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as such, no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 87 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 87 of the Complaint.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 24. Defendant incorporates its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 87 as and for its answer to Paragraph 88.
- 25. To the extent that Paragraphs 89 to 92 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 89 to 92 of the Complaint.
 - 26. Paragraph 93 contains conclusions of law as opposed to allegations of fact, and as

such, no answer is required. To the extent that Paragraph 93 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the same.

27. To the extent that Paragraph 94 purports to contain any allegations of fact, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 94 of the Complaint.

PRAYER

28. Defendant denies all factual allegations in the prayer for relief. Defendant also expressly denies that Plaintiff is entitled to relief, including but not limited to the relief sought by Plaintiff in their Complaint. Defendant generally denies any allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint not specifically admitted herein.

In further answer to the Complaint, and pursuant to Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant states as follows for its affirmative defenses:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim for Relief)

29. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Statute of Limitations)

30. Defendant is informed and believes and, based thereon allege, that each claim for relief alleged against Defendant is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate)

31. Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that if Plaintiffs suffered any damages, it is barred from recovering such damages based on their failure to mitigate those damages by the exercise of reasonable care and effort.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Waiver)

32. Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon allege, that by reason of their own acts and omissions, Plaintiffs have waived the claims for relief it asserts against Defendant in the Complaint.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Estoppel)

33. Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that by reason of its own acts and omissions, Plaintiffs are estopped from asserting the claims for relief asserted against

Defendant in the Complaint.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unclean Hands)

Defendant is informed and believes, and on based thereon alleges, that by reason of its 34. acts and omissions, Plaintiffs' claims for relief against Defendant are barred under the doctrine of unclean hands.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Laches)

35. Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Plaintiffs have unreasonably delayed in bringing the claims for relief set forth in the Complaint, to Defendant's prejudice, and accordingly such causes of action are barred by the doctrine of laches.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Fault of Others)

If, arguendo, any loss, injury, damage, or detriment has occurred, as alleged in the 36. Complaint, then such loss, injury, damage or detriment was caused or contributed to by the intervening or superceding negligent, reckless, intentional, or otherwise unlawful acts or omissions of third parties.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Assumption of Risk)

Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Plaintiffs have 37. assumed the risk as it relates to their action as stated in the complaint.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Comparative Fault)

If, arguendo, any loss, injury, damage, or detriment has occurred, as alleged in the 38. Complaint, then such loss, injury, damage or detriment was caused or contributed to by the intervening or superseding negligent, reckless, intentional, or otherwise unlawful acts or omissions of Plaintiffs.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Additional Defenses)

39. Defendant intends to rely on such other and further defenses as may become known or available to them, hereafter, and reserve their right to assert said additional defenses in the future.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully prays for Judgment in her favor and against Plaintiffs, as follows:

26

27

28

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable that are raised by Plaintiffs' Complaint and the Answer of Defendant. DATED: August 1, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF J.T. FOX. APC By: DEFENDANT TIFFANY ALANA WOLFF d/b/a MISS V LANE

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, the undersigned, declare: 3 I am a citizen of the United States of America, am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and not a party to the within action. I am a party to this action, and my business address is: 556 S. Fair Oaks Ave., Suite 444, Pasadena CA 91105. 4 5 On August 1, 2016, I served DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL on the parties involved by mailing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed 6 envelope, addressed as follows: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST. 8 X BY MAIL: I caused each envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Pasadena, California. I am readily 9 familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail in this office; that in the ordinary course of business said document would be 10 deposited with the US Postal Service in Los Angeles on that same day. I understand that service shall be presumed invalid upon motion of a party 11 served if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is 12 more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing contained on this declaration. 13 (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. 14 15 Executed on August 1, 2016, at Pasadena, California. 16 17 DECLARANT, J.T. FØX 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

ATTCHEMENT TO PROOF OF SERICE USDC Case No. 2:16-cv-00940

SERVICE LIST

Eugene S Alkana

Eugene S Alkana Law Office 131 North El Molino Avenue Suite

310

Pasadena, CA 91101

626-796-8170

626-795-6138 (fax)

Rep:

U.S. General Export, Inc.

(Defendant)

Louis S Ederer

Arnold and Porter LLP

399 Park Avenue

New York, NY 90022

212-715-1102

212-715-1399 (fax)

Rep:

Sweet People Apparel,

Inc. & RCRV, Inc.

(Plaintiffs)

Michael James Luther

Schwartzberg Luther APC 8291 Utica Avenue Suite 201

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

909-457-4270

909-457-4296 (fax)

Rep:

Comak Trading, Inc.

(Defendants)

Eric D Mason

Arnold and Porter LLP

777 South Figueroa Street 44th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017-5844

213-243-4000

213-243-4199 (fax)

Rep.

Sweet People Apparel,

Inc. & RCRV, Inc.

(Plaintiffs)

Rep:

Rep:

William C O'Neill

Ross Wersching and Wolcott LLP 3151 Airway Avenue Building S Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-444-3900 714-444-3901 (fax)

Phoenix Fibers, Inc.

(Defendant)

Sagi Schwartzberg

Schwartzberg & Associates, APC 8291 Utica Avenue, Suite 201 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 909-457-4270 909-457-4296 (fax)

Comak Trading, Inc.

(Defendant) & Lydia Elvilsa Terrazas Cho (Defendant) and Myung Kwon Cho (Defendant)